Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No _:	Applicant(s)
	10/760,168	PERKINS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) Ms. Jennifer E. Novosad (Primary Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Ms. Tara Hartman (Appl. Representative). (4)		
Date of Interview: 4 January 2007	Time: <u>8:30am</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 21-46	·	
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
	·	
	•	•
•		
Januar E. Noman		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

1

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed canceling claims 21-46 which were withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention, elected with traverse on April 12, 2006. The examiner is to cancel claims by examiner's amendment (with a one month extension of time charged to Deposit Account 231660)...